



Bill Analysis

Author: Aguiar-Curry &
Gonzalez

Sponsor:

Bill Number: AB 2028

Analyst: Jahna Carlson

Phone: (916) 845-5683

Amended July 8, 2020

Attorney: Shane Hofeling

Related Bills: See Legislative
History

SUBJECT

State Agencies/Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act

SUMMARY

This bill would under, the Government Code (GC), modify provisions of the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act (Bagley-Keene Act).

RECOMMENDATION

No position.

SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

The July 8, 2020, amendments modified requirements regarding the provision of writings or materials in connection with a noticed meeting under the Bagley-Keene Act, removed the provision relating to retention of specified writings or materials, and made several nonsubstantive technical changes.

This analysis replaces the department's analysis of the bill as amended June 4, 2020.

REASON FOR THE BILL

The reason for this bill is to modify the Bagley-Keene Act to enhance the public's access to information and ability to comment on issues concerning the conduct of the people's business.

ANALYSIS

This bill would under the Government Code, modify the noticing requirements of the Bagley Keene Act to require inclusion of all writings and materials provided for the noticed meeting to a member of the state body by the staff of that state agency, board, or commission, or another member of the state body, that are in connection with a matter subject to discussion or consideration at the noticed meeting. A state body would be allowed to distribute or discuss such writings and materials only to the extent that this bill's noticing requirements had been met.

The writings and materials to be considered at a noticed meeting that were provided to the members of a state body in advance of that meeting would be required to be made available on the body's internet website no later than the first business day following the dissemination of the writings and materials to members of the state body or at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting, whichever is earlier. The bill would also require the state body to provide writings or materials provided to members of the state body in advance of the meeting immediately upon receipt of a written request for such writings or materials.

In addition, any writings or materials provided to the members of the state body by another state body after the time periods described in the paragraph above have passed must be posted on the body's internet website no later than the first business day, but prior to the meeting of the state body, following the dissemination of the writings and materials to the members of the state body. These writings or materials would be required to be provided immediately upon receipt of a written request. A state body that satisfies these requirements may discuss these writings and materials at an otherwise properly noticed meeting.

The bill's noticing requirements would not apply to writings or materials prepared for a matter to be discussed in a closed session of the state body or state financial materials, as defined, that put the Treasurer at a competitive disadvantage in financial transactions.

This bill would provide that, for writings or materials on an agenda for discussion at a meeting of the state body that are related to current legislation or are related to changing financial market conditions, a state body would satisfy the noticing requirements by disclosing these writings or materials on its website as they become available if after the time periods generally applicable pursuant to this bill. However, upon receipt of a written request, these writings or materials would be required to be provided immediately. The state body would be required to make clear what date the new or changed writings or materials are posted and, when applicable, what changes have been made in such writings and materials.

This bill would eliminate the exception for agenda items that have previously been subject to public comment during a public meeting of a committee of the state body from the general rule that allows the public to comment on each agenda item of a state body. Thus, the public would have the right to comment on each agenda item of a state body, regardless if the agenda item has been previously subject to public comment during a public meeting of a committee of that state body.

The bill would also recast and renumber the existing exceptions to the public's ability to directly address a state body and make several nonsubstantive technical changes.

Effective/Operative Date

Assuming enactment by September 30, 2020, this bill would be effective January 1, 2021, and operative with respect to meetings subject to the Bagley-Keene Act that are scheduled on or after that date.

Federal/State Law

Federal Law

Various federal statutes establish open meeting requirements for federal agencies. Agencies may publish notices of upcoming meetings and hearings in the Federal Register. The Federal Advisory Committee Act, which became law in 1972, applies to government committees that advise the President and executive agencies on specific matters. Most federal agencies are subject to the open meeting provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, passed in 1976. This law requires "every portion of every meeting of an agency to be open to public observation." The exemptions to this requirement include matters of national defense, internal agency matters, and matters covered by privacy statutes.

State Law

Under the Bagley-Keene Act, set forth in GC sections 11120-11132, all state boards and commissions have essentially three duties. First, to give timely and sufficient public notice of meetings to be held. Second, to provide an opportunity for public comment. Third, to conduct such meetings in open session, except where a closed session is specifically authorized.

Under current law, unless otherwise excepted, state bodies are required to provide notice at least 10 days in advance of a meeting that includes among other things, a specific agenda for the meeting that briefly describes each item of business for both open and closed sessions.

Current state law, GC section 11125.1 excepts from disclosure under the Bagley-Keene Act certain records including, for example:

- Preliminary drafts, notes, or interagency or intra-agency memoranda that are not retained by the public agency in the ordinary course of business, if the public interest in withholding those records clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure.
- Records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until such litigation is settled or finally adjudicated.
- Personnel, medical, or similar files, as specified.

Specific to the Franchise Tax Board (FTB), GC section 11125.1 requires, prior to the FTB taking final action on any item, writings that are public records prepared and distributed by the FTB staff or individual members to members of the state body prior to or during a meeting be:

- Made available for public inspection at that meeting.
- Distributed to all persons who request notice in writing pursuant to subdivision (a) of GC Section 11125.
- Made available on the Internet.

Current state law provides an exception from the requirement to allow public comment before the full membership of a state body for an agenda item that has previously been subject to public comment before a meeting of a committee of the state body.

Implementation Considerations

The department has identified the following implementation concerns. Department staff is available to work with the author's office to resolve these and other concerns that may be identified.

It is unclear whether this bill's expanded requirement to disclose or the existing requirements under GC section 11125.1 would be controlling for the FTB.

This bill would require writings or materials requested in writing to be provided immediately upon receipt of the written request. To avoid disputes between requesters and the department, it is suggested that the bill is amended to specify a defined time period, for example, within 5 business days of receipt of the written request.

The bill's notice requirement to include all writings or materials provided for the noticed meeting to a state body's member by staff or another member does not contain an exception for confidential and proprietary materials that could impact the 3-member Franchise Tax Board's ability to effectively discharge its duties.

Technical Considerations

For consistent use of terminology, on page 4, line 32, and page 5 lines 2, 5, and 24, replace "and" in the phrase "writings and materials" with "or".

For consistent use of terminology, the phrase "changing market conditions" on page 5, lines 26 and 27, should be replaced with the phrase "changing financial market conditions".

Policy Concerns

None noted.

Legislative History

AB 2958 (Quirk, Chapter 881, Statutes of 2018) modified the Bagley-Keene Act to authorize members of a state body that is an advisory board, advisory commission, advisory committee, advisory subcommittee, or similar multimember advisory body that has no rule-making authority to attend meetings remotely via teleconference, as specified, provided the meeting complies with all other applicable requirements of the Bagley-Keene Act.

SB 387 (Jackson, Chapter 537, Statutes of 2016), among other things, modified the definition of "state body" for purposes of the Bagley-Keene Act to include the State Bar of California.

AB 2027 (Ting, Chapter 510, Statutes of 2014) requires under the Bagley-Keene Act, a state body to publicly report any action taken and the vote or abstention on that action of each member present for the action.

AB 3035 (Committee on Judiciary, Chapter 300, Statutes of 2002) among other things, amended the 10 day noticing requirement of the Bagley-Keene Act to require that the 10 day notice be made available in appropriate alternative formats, as specified, upon request by any person with a disability, and include information regarding how, to whom, and by when a request for any disability-related accommodation may be made by a person who requires such an accommodation to participate in the public meeting.

PROGRAM BACKGROUND

None noted.

FISCAL IMPACT

If the implementation considerations addressed in this analysis are resolved, the department's costs are expected to be minor.

ECONOMIC IMPACT

Revenue Estimate

This bill, as amended on July 8, 2020, would not impact state income or franchise tax revenue.

This analysis does not account for changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this bill or for the net final payment method of accrual.

LEGAL IMPACT

None noted.

APPOINTMENTS

None noted.

SUPPORT/OPPOSITION

To be determined.

ARGUMENTS

To be determined.

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Jahna Carlson
Legislative Analyst, FTB
(916) 845-5683
jahna.carlson@ftb.ca.gov

Tiffany Christiansen
Revenue Manager, FTB
(916) 845-5346
tiffany.christiansen@ftb.ca.gov

Annette Kunze
Legislative Director, FTB
(916) 845-6333
annette.kunze@ftb.ca.gov