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SUBJECT: Exclusion/Earned Income from Indian Country in This State

SUMMARY

This bill would, under the Personal Income Tax Law, exclude certain earned income from gross income of an eligible taxpayer, as defined.

REASON FOR THE BILL

The reason for the bill is to allow Native American Indian tribal members flexibility in the location of their residence without compromising their income exclusion.

EFFECTIVE/OPERATIVE DATE

As a tax levy, this bill would be effective immediately upon enactment and specifically operative for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2017.

STATE LAW

Generally, the income of California residents is subject to California taxation, regardless of source. However, current state law provides an exclusion from a tribal member’s gross income, the earned income of these tribal members that meet any of the following conditions:

- The tribal member is an enrolled member of a federally recognized California Indian Tribe and lives in their tribe’s Indian country, and earns or receives reservation source income from the same Indian country in which he or she lives and is an enrolled tribal member.
- The tribal member is an active duty U.S. military service member who receives military pay and is stationed in California with orders to live outside Indian country.
- The tribal member is a retired military service member who receives a military pension and is residing on their tribe’s reservation.
- The tribal member lives outside California and receives per capita income from his or her federally recognized California tribe.

---

1 California Revenue and Taxation Code section 17041.
2 Indian Country definition can be found here: https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/granule/USCODE-2011-title18/USCODE-2011-title18-partI-chap53-sec1151
THIS BILL

For taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2017, this bill would exclude from gross income the earned income of an eligible taxpayer.

The bill would define the following terms:

- “Earned Income” has the same meaning as provided in the Earned Income Credit provision (Section 32(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code), as modified to substitute the phrase “but only if such amounts would have been otherwise properly includable in gross income for the taxable year without regard to subdivision (a) and only to the extent that the earned income is derived from sources within Indian country in this state” for the phrase “but only if such amounts are includable in gross income for the taxable year.”
- “Eligible taxpayer” means an individual who is a member of a federally recognized Indian tribe in this state who resides within Indian country in this state.
- “Indian country” has the same meaning as provided in Section 30101.7.3

This bill would exclude earned income as defined above. However, any per capita distributions received by an individual not residing on their tribe’s reservation would remain includable in gross income.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Research of California legislation found no legislation similar to the provisions of this bill.

OTHER STATES’ INFORMATION

The states surveyed include Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, and New York. These states were selected due to their similarities to California’s economy, business entity types, and tax laws.

Illinois does not have any federally recognized Indian tribes within the state and therefore has no exclusions available.

Massachusetts law states that American Indian tribal members living within Indian country are not considered residents of any state and, hence, could not be subject to tax as a Massachusetts resident.

Michigan law allows those American Indian tribal members who reside on their tribe’s land and whose tribe has an implemented state/tribal tax agreement, to exclude income derived from or associated to their tribe based on their individual tribes’ state/tribal tax agreement.

3 “Indian country” shall have the same meaning as provided in Section 1151 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and includes any other land held by the United States in trust or restricted status for one or more Indian tribes.
Minnesota law states that American Indian tribal members are not required to pay state income taxes on income earned on the reservation of the tribe in which the individual is enrolled.

New York law provides an income exclusion for American Indian tribal members who are an enrolled member of a tribe or nation recognized by the United States or by New York State. This exemption is provided only to those who work and live on that tribe’s reservation in which the individual is a member.

**FISCAL IMPACT**

This bill would not significantly impact the department’s costs.

**ECONOMIC IMPACT**

**Revenue Estimate**

This bill would result in the following revenue loss:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Revenue Impact of SB 289</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assumed Enactment After June 30, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This analysis does not account for changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this bill.

**Revenue Discussion**

This bill would allow American Indian tribal members living in Indian country, whether their own or another tribe’s reservation, to exclude from gross income their earned income that is derived from sources within any Indian country in California.

Based on 2015 Census data for Native Americans in California, there are approximately 325,000 individuals in the labor force. Of those individuals, it is assumed 10,000 would reside in Indian country. Of those, it is assumed that 10 percent, or 1,000, would reside in another tribe’s Indian country and would earn income sourced from Indian country that would be excluded from income under this bill.

Using US Census data, it is estimated that the average earned income derived from sources within Indian country in California for the California Native American population is approximately $20,000. This results in an estimated $20 million that would be eligible for the income exclusion in 2015. The estimate is then adjusted to reflect changes in the economy over time, resulting in an estimated $25 million in 2017. An average tax rate of 4 percent is then applied resulting in an estimated revenue loss of $1 million in 2017.
The tax year estimates are converted to fiscal years, and then rounded to arrive at the amounts reflected in the above table.

**APPOINTMENTS**

None.

**SUPPORT/OPPOSITION**


Opposition: None provided.

**VOTES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assembly Floor</td>
<td>09/12/17</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Floor</td>
<td>05/31/17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marybel Batjer, Agency Secretary, GovOps</td>
<td>916-651-9024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaim Morton, Legislative Deputy, GovOps</td>
<td>916-651-9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selvi Stanislaus, Executive Officer, FTB</td>
<td>916-845-4543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Deatherage, Legislative Director, FTB</td>
<td>916-845-6333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

4 As provided in the Senate Governance and Finance Committee analysis dated March 24, 2017.
5 As provided in the Assembly Revenue and Taxation Committee analysis dated June 23, 2017.