

ANALYSIS OF ORIGINAL BILL

Author: Ting Analyst: Janet Jennings Bill Number: AB 1801
 Related Bills: None Telephone: 845-3495 Introduced Date: February 8, 2016
 Attorney: Bruce Langston Sponsor _____

SUBJECT:	Change Due Date of Taxpayer’s Bill of Rights Annual Report to Legislature
-----------------	---

SUMMARY

This bill would modify a provision of the Katz-Harris Taxpayers’ Bill of Rights Act.

RECOMMENDATION

No position.

REASON FOR THE BILL

The reason for the bill is to allow the Franchise Tax Board (FTB) additional time to prepare a complete and accurate report.

EFFECTIVE/OPERATIVE DATE

This bill would be effective January 1, 2017, and would be operative for reports issued on or after that date.

STATE LAW

The Katz-Harris Taxpayers’ Bill of Rights Act, among other things, requires the FTB to annually perform a systematic identification of areas of recurrent taxpayer noncompliance and report its findings to the Legislature on December 1 of each year. In addition, this report includes recommendations for improving taxpayer compliance and a summary of cases where penalty, interest, and fee relief was granted as part of the Taxpayers’ Rights Advocate relief program¹.

This report is referred to as the Taxpayer Bill of Rights Annual Report to the Legislature.

THIS BILL

This bill would change the due date for the annual report from December 1 to December 31 of each year.

¹ Pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 21004.

Board Position:	Executive Officer	Date
_____ S _____ NA _____ X _____ NP	Selvi Stanislaus	02/25/16
_____ SA _____ O _____ NAR		
_____ N _____ OUA _____		

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

Implementing this bill would not significantly impact the department's programs and operations.

OTHER STATES' INFORMATION

Because this bill changes the date that the annual report required under the Katz-Harris Taxpayers' Bill of Rights Act is due to the Legislature, a review of other states' tax information would not be relevant.

FISCAL IMPACT

This bill would not impact department costs.

ECONOMIC IMPACT

This bill would not impact state tax revenues.

SUPPORT/OPPOSITION

Support: None on file.

Opposition: None on file.

ARGUMENTS

Proponents: Some would argue that extending the due date by 30 days would allow for a more accurate report.

Opponents: Some could argue that an additional 30 days may be inadequate to have a significant impact on the accuracy of the report.

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Janet Jennings
Legislative Analyst, FTB
(916) 845-3495
janet.jennings@ftb.ca.gov

Jame Eiserman
Revenue Manager, FTB
(916) 845-7484
jame.eiserman@ftb.ca.gov

Gail Hall
Legislative Director, FTB
(916) 845-6333
gail.hall@ftb.ca.gov