

NO ANALYSIS REQUIRED

Franchise Tax Board

Author: Committee on Judiciary Analyst: Roger Lackey Bill Number: SB 210

Related Bills: None Telephone: 845-3627 Introduced Date: 01-20-99

Attorney: Doug Bramhall Sponsor: _____

SUBJECT: Technical Amendments To Implement Court Unification

- ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED of this bill -- Not within scope of responsibility of this department.
- TECHNICAL BILL -- No program or fiscal changes to existing program.
- BILL AS AMENDED NO LONGER WITHIN SCOPE of responsibility or program of the department.
- TECHNICAL AMENDMENT - No change in previously submitted analysis required. Approved position of prior analysis is _____.
- MINOR AMENDMENT - No change in previously submitted analysis required. Approved position of prior analysis is _____.
- MINOR AMENDMENT - No change in approved position of _____. See comments below.
- OTHER - See comments below.

COMMENTS:

This bill would provide conforming, technical and implementation changes to effectuate the amendments provided for in SCA 4 of the 1995-96 legislative session, as approved by the voters on June 2, 1998, which made various changes to the judicial system, including providing for the abolition of municipal courts within a county upon a majority vote of the superior court judges and the municipal court judges separately.

This bill would make a corresponding technical change to the Revenue and Taxation Code, which would not impact the department's ability to administer the income tax law.

Board Position:	Franchise Tax Board Staff	Date
<input type="checkbox"/> S <input type="checkbox"/> SA <input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> O <input type="checkbox"/> OUA	<input type="checkbox"/> NP <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAR <input type="checkbox"/> PENDING
	Roger Lackey	2-2-99