

Big changes in store for nonresidents, part-year residents, former nonresidents

The laws guiding California's taxation of nonresidents, former nonresidents, and part-year residents are changing for taxable years beginning in 2002 as a result of California Assembly Bill 1115.

The bill sets rules for calculating loss carryovers, deferred deductions, and deferred income.

The changes in the California taxation of income items as a result of AB 1115 need to be considered in determining your clients' estimated tax payments for taxable year 2002. Tax forms for 2002 will be revised to reflect the law changes.

In this issue of *Tax News* we have a special feature on AB 1115, beginning on page 5. It contains definitions and examples to help you understand the changes resulting from the new law.

You can also view this special feature on our Webpage, *Tax News Online*, located at www.ftb.ca.gov/education/taxnews/Index.html.

And there's more. We are also preparing a new publication to provide additional guidance and examples. Please watch for *Publication 1100 – Guidelines of Nonresidents and Individuals Who Change Residency*. If you or your client changed residency, it is especially important that you request this publication. Since part-year residents are taxed as residents for that period during which they were residents and as nonresidents for the remaining period, part-year residents are subject to a combination of the rules set forth in this article. The publication will have examples illustrating the application of AB 1115 to the part-year resident.

Continued on page 5

Online installment agreement tool simplifies application process

Beginning in October, we will have an online electronic installment agreement application on our Website, www.ftb.ca.gov, that can simplify and speed up the process of applying for an installment agreement with us.

The electronic installment agreement also provides taxpayers the convenience of applying for an installment agreement at any time. Taxpayers are required to use their customer service number for

authentication. Confidential information is protected through the use of Secure Sockets Layer encryption. Here's how it works:

- The taxpayer completes and submits an application for approval to pay an amount due in installments.
- We instantly send the taxpayer confirmation that we received the application.

Continued on page 4

Inside...

Legislative update:
Page 2


Ask the Advocate
Page 3

Tax forms corrections
Page 3

AB 1115
Special feature
Page 5

e-file news
Page 18

Missouri offers amnesty
Back page



Volume 00-5 September/October 2002

TAX NEWS is a bimonthly publication of the Communications Services Bureau, California Franchise Tax Board. Its primary objective is to provide information to income tax practitioners about state income tax laws, regulations, policies and procedures.

Members of the Board:

- Kathleen Connell, Chair
State Controller
- John Chiang
Chair, State Board of Equalization
- B. Timothy Gage
Director, Department of Finance
- Executive Officer:
Gerald H. Goldberg
- Editor:
Marvin Meek

To update or correct your address or to subscribe to TAX NEWS (send \$12 for a one-year subscription), write:

TAX NEWS
PO Box 2708
Rancho Cordova, CA 95741-2708
or call: (916) 845-7070

To view TAX NEWS on the Internet or to request an electronic mail subscription, contact FTB on our website:
www.ftb.ca.gov

For information about a client's account, contact:

Tax Practitioner Support Unit
phone: (916)845-7057
fax: (916)845-6377

For recorded answers to questions on California taxes, to order forms, or to check on a refund, call:
(800)338-0505
From outside U.S. (916)845-6600

To send a facsimile about a client's account, transmit to:
Electronic Correspondence
(916)845-6377

Information Center
(800)852-5711
From outside U.S. (916)845-6500

Hearing Impaired
TDD (800)822-6268

FTB on the Internet
www.ftb.ca.gov

Printed on recycled paper

Legislative update

New laws affect taxpayers in military, limited liability companies, Lupus Foundation contributors

Several legislative measures were recently enacted into law. Here are summaries of each. If you want to learn more about any of the new laws, go to our *Law and Legislation Webpage* located on our Website at www.ftb.ca.gov.

You also can find comprehensive information about all legislative measures on the Internet at www.leginfo.ca.gov, the *Official California Legislation Information Website*.

AB 957 (Papan, Stats. 2002, Ch. 135)

This act makes clarifying changes to the law that allows individuals to make voluntary contributions to the Lupus Foundation of America on their tax returns. This act clarifies that contributions shall be used by the California-based operating chapters of the Lupus Foundation for lupus education, awareness, and for research grants.

The Lupus Foundation first appeared on the 2001 tax return and will appear for five years, as long as it meets a minimum contribution amount.

AB 1433 (Horton, Stats. 2002, Ch. 60)

This act provides various civil protections and relief to military service members who are ordered to active federal or state military duty by codifying provisions that are generally similar to the Federal Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act. With respect to income taxes and administration, this act requires:

- We exclude the period of military service when computing any statute period limited by law for bringing actions or proceedings in any court, board, bureau, commission, department, or other agency of the government.
- We assess an interest rate of no more

than six percent on any liability incurred by the service member prior to their entry into active service, unless a court concludes that the service member's ability to pay a higher interest rate is not materially affected by their service.

- We will defer the collection of any income tax that is due prior to or during the period of military service for a period of up to six months after the termination of active military service. Interest and penalties for nonpayment of the tax will not accrue during the time we defer the liability. Further, the running of the statute of limitations against the collection of any tax will be suspended for the period of military service and for an additional nine months after the end of active service.

Further, this act allows a court to grant the following relief (after notice and hearing) if a service member applies for the relief of any tax or assessment that is due prior to or during the period of military service:

- A stay of the enforcement of the tax during the period of military service.
- The service member may pay the balance of any unpaid liability and interest in equal periodic installments for a period beginning on either the date of the termination of service or the date of application for relief. The time period will be equal to the period the member spent in military service.

When a court has granted the stay, no fines or penalties can accrue during the period of the relief outlined above.

Continued on page 3

New law modifies *Open Meeting Act*; Board of Equalization most impacted

Continued from page 2

Finally, this act states that the Legislature's intent is to allow service members to qualify for the benefits and protections under this act retroactive to September 11, 2001. However, it is also the Legislature's intent that the actual benefits and protections to service members will only apply on a prospective basis after January 1, 2003, which is the act's operative date. Therefore, service members that are in active service as of September 11, 2001, will qualify for the income tax collection deferral, but the deferral will be effective only on or after January 1, 2003.

AB 1752 (Migden, Stats. 2002, Ch. 156)

This act makes changes to the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act as it applies to the Board of Equalization. This act generally requires that certain disclosable public records pertaining to an item on an agenda for a meeting of the Board of Equalization that are prepared and distributed by either a Board of Equalization member or Board of Equalization staff to Board of Equalization members prior to or during a Board of Equalization meeting must be disseminated in three ways before the Board of Equalization takes any final action on that item to which the writing pertains. The public records must be: (1) made available for public inspection at the meeting, (2) distributed to all persons requesting copies of such writings, and (3) made available on the Internet. These requirements do not apply to writings pertaining to any item that involves a named tax or fee payer.

This act is similar to Senate Bill 445 (Burton, Stats. 2001, Ch. 670), which applies to the three-member Franchise Tax Board.

AB 2791 (Migden, Stats. 2002, Ch. 169)

This act requires that:

- The Secretary of State notify certain business entities about their obligation to pay an annual tax at the time the entity is created or registered in this state, and
- We notify certain business entities about their obligation to pay an annual tax until the existence of the business entity is properly ended or the business entity's registration is properly cancelled.

This act applies to domestic and foreign limited partnerships, limited liability partnerships, and limited liability companies.

Correction to tax form

Please note the following correction to the distributed 2001, California tax forms, instructions, and booklets. Should you have any questions about this clarification, contact our Tax Forms Development and Distribution Section at (916) 845-3442.

CA Targeted Tax Area Business Booklet, Form FTB 3809 (instructions)

The error appears on page 4 of the instructions, third column, under the heading *Credit Limitations*. The third bullet should be deleted. The bullet reads:

- The business must reduce any deduction for wages by the amount of the TTA credit.

The error appears in the 2001 Package X and in the above mentioned booklet. The Internet version is correct.

Ask the Advocate



Debbie Newcomb
Taxpayer
Advocate

Q: My client wants to dissolve a corporation. How do I compute the tax for the final year?

A: I would need to know more about your client's account before I could answer your question definitively. However, there has been a noticeable change in the law that affects final year returns for dissolving corporations. If you're not careful when completing the dissolution, your client could wind up paying additional taxes, penalties, and interest. Let me explain:

In 2000, California enacted Assembly Bill 1843, replacing the term income year with taxable year. This law change made it easier for corporations to calculate their tax liability for their final year.

AB 1843 replaced income year with taxable year in all provisions of the Bank and Corporation Tax Law, the Administration of the Franchise and Income Tax Law, and the Personal Income Tax Law. Revenue and Taxation Code Section 23151(f)(1)(A) and (B) states:

Continued on page 4

Electronic installment agreement – faster, simpler

Continued from page 1

- Later, the taxpayer goes online to check the status of the request.

To qualify for an installment agreement the maximum balance

owed cannot exceed \$10,000, and the total balance must be paid within 36 monthly installments.

Currently, our electronic installment agreement is for taxpayers' use only.

We are looking at expanding it to allow you to submit an installment agreement request on your clients' behalf. In the meantime, let your clients know about our new paperless service.

Ask the Advocate

Continued from page 3

With respect to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2000 (other than the first taxable year beginning on or after that date), the tax for the taxable year (including the taxable year of commencement and the taxable year of cessation) shall be according to or measured by its net income for the taxable year to be computed at the rate prescribed in Section 23151 {Emphasis added}.

As a result of AB 1843, corporations now calculate their franchise tax using their net income from the taxable year instead of using the net income of the preceding income year, which no longer exists. To make the change from income year to taxable year, California made statutory changes to the treatment of estimate payments.

Beginning with tax year 2000, we do not treat an estimate payment made in a current taxable year as payment for the franchise tax in the following taxable year, but we regard it as payment for the taxable year in which the payment is made.

The current law requires dissolving corporations to pay a measured tax amount that is based on their net income, but not less than the minimum tax (\$800) in their final year. *Note: Regarding prepayments made upon incorporation, there is no provision in the tax law to apply prepayments made upon*

More than ever before, it is critical that you carefully follow the procedures for the proper dissolution of a corporation.

incorporation to the computation of the final year tax (applies to entities incorporated prior to January 1, 2000).

Nowadays, many corporations wait until the end of their normal year, to file for dissolution, withdrawal, or merger with the Secretary of State.

More than ever before, it is critical that you carefully follow the procedures for the proper dissolution of a corporation (located in the California Corporations Code).

Pay particular attention to the timing of the filing, otherwise, under the current

laws, your client may be required to file another tax return, and pay additional taxes, penalties, and interest. Here's an example:

Corporation A, filing on an annual basis, submits documents to the Secretary of State with the intention of dissolving on December 12, 2002. It mails the documents to the Secretary of State on December 15, 2002. The Secretary of State determines the documents are incomplete and informs the corporation that it must file additional forms.

Corporation A delays in filing the additional documents and as a result, the documents do not reach the Secretary of State until February 5, 2003. The Secretary of State completes the dissolution process and informs us that the dissolution date is February 5, 2003.

Corporation A is now required to file an additional tax return, even though it did not conduct business or earn income, for the short period ending February 5, 2003, and it must pay at least the minimum tax (We would also assess penalties and interest for a late return, if applicable).

Assembly Bill 1115

Table of Contents

Section 1 The New Computation	Section 5 Compensation	Section 9 Suspended Gains and Losses
Section 2 Installment Sales	Section 6 Stock Options	Section 10 Net Operating Losses and Deductions
Section 3 Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs)	Section 7 Deferred Gains (Section 1031 Exchanges)	Section 11 Basis in Pass-through Entities
Section 4 Employer-sponsored Retirement Plans	Section 8 Capital Gains and Losses	Section 12 Alternative Minimum Tax

Section 1: The New Computation

Prorated tax computation:

Prior California law was silent on the tax treatment of loss carryovers, deferred deductions, and deferred income that vested prior to the time individuals became part-year or non-California residents.

This new law changes the computation method to recognize those items. Beginning this year, your nonresident and part-year resident clients will determine their California tax by multiplying their California Taxable Income by an effective tax rate.

The effective tax rate is the California tax on all income as if the person were a California resident for the current tax year and for all prior tax years for any carryover items, deferred income suspended losses, or suspended deductions, divided by that income. The computation is as follows:

$$\text{California Taxable Income} \times \frac{\text{Tax on Total Taxable Income}}{\text{Total Taxable Income}} = \text{Prorated Tax}$$

How are the percentages calculated?

Calculate percentages for California deductions, tax, and credits as follows:

To Calculate the Percentage for CA	Instruction
Itemized or Standard Deductions	Divide the California AGI by the total adjusted gross income (not to exceed 1.0) $\frac{\text{California AGI}}{\text{Total AGI}}$
Tax Rate	Divide the tax on the total taxable income by the total taxable income. $\frac{\text{Tax on Total Taxable Income}}{\text{Total Taxable Income}}$
Credits	Divide the California taxable income by the total taxable income. $\frac{\text{California Taxable Income}}{\text{Total Taxable Income}}$

(Note: This percentage does not apply to renter's credit, other state tax credit, or credits that are conditional upon a transaction occurring wholly within California.)

Section 1 The new computation

Continued from page 5

California Taxable Income is

California Adjusted Gross Income less California itemized or standard deductions.

California adjusted gross income is

Gross income and deductions derived from California sources for any part of the taxable year during which the taxpayer was a nonresident, plus:

- All items of gross income and all deductions regardless of source for

any part of the taxable year during which the taxpayer was a resident.

- Any carryover items, deferred income, suspended losses or suspended deductions are included or allowable only to the extent they were derived from California sources for the period of nonresidency. Basis adjustments, including the basis in tax-free exchanges, for all prior years are determined under California law.

California Itemized or Standard

Deductions are determined by applying the ratio of California AGI to Total AGI to all of the nonresident's or part-year resident's itemized deductions that are allowed to California residents. The ratio is limited to 1.00 so that the allowable

California deductions do not exceed what was actually incurred by the nonresident or part-year resident.

Total Taxable Income is the entire taxable income of a nonresident or part-year resident determined:

- As if the nonresident or part-year resident were a California resident for the current taxable year; and
- As if the nonresident or part-year resident were a California resident for all prior taxable years for any carryover items, deferred income, suspended losses, or suspended deductions.

Continued on page 7

Example 1-1:

Tom lived in Washington until March 31, 2002. He became a California resident on April 1, 2002. Tom earned and received wages of \$15,000 in Washington while he was a Washington resident, and he earned wages of \$65,000 while he was a California resident. Tom also earned \$4,000 in interest income during 2002, \$1,000 while a resident of Washington and \$3,000 while a California resident. Tom is single and had the following itemized deductions in 2002:

Real estate taxes: \$ 1,200 (CA house)
800 (WA house)
Mortgage interest: 17,000 (CA house)
3,500 (WA house)
Charitable contributions: 1,500 (NY Firefighters' Fund)
\$24,000

Calculation of Tom's 2002 California Tax Liability:

CA AGI: \$65,000 (CA wages)
+ 3,000 (Interest earned while a CA resident)
\$68,000
Total AGI: \$80,000 (Wages from all sources)
+ 4,000 (Interest income from all sources)
\$84,000

CA Itemized Deductions:	24,000 (Total itemized deductions)
	<u>x .8095</u> (CA AGI ÷ Total AGI)
	\$19,428
CA Taxable Income:	\$68,000 (CA AGI)
	<u>- 19,428</u> (CA itemized deductions)
	\$48,572
Total Taxable Income (TI):	\$84,000 (Total AGI)
	<u>- 24,000</u> (Total itemized deductions)
	\$60,000
Tax on Total Taxable Income:	\$3,733 (Tax table*)
Prorated Tax:	\$48,572 (CA Taxable Income)
	<u>x .0622</u> (Tax on Total TI ÷ Total TI)
	\$3,021
TT Prorated Exemption Credit:	\$ 79 (Personal exemption credit*)
	<u>x .8095</u> (CA Taxable Income ÷ Total TI)
	\$ 64
Tax Liability:	\$ 3,021 (Prorated tax)
	<u>- 64</u> (Prorated exemption credit)**
	<u>\$ 2,957</u>

* For purposes of this example, the 2001 Tax Table and personal exemption credit amounts have been used.

**Even though, under AB 1115, the proration of personal exemption credits was not really changed, since exemption credits are figured after the prorated tax, the new computation makes the proration more explicit.

Section 1 The New Computation

Continued from page 6

Credits:

Renter's credit, other state tax credit and credits that are conditioned upon a transaction occurring wholly within California (e.g., the Manufacturer's Investment Credit) are allowed in full. All other credits are prorated using the ratio of California Taxable Income over Total Taxable Income. For prorated credits, the ratio is not limited to 1.00. However, the actual prorated credit amount cannot exceed the specific dollar limit applicable to the credit.

Once Tom, the taxpayer in Example 1-1, (see page 6) becomes a California resident, he will pay taxes on all income, regardless of source. In addition, AB 1115 provides that Tom should treat carryover items, suspended losses, etc., as if he were a California resident in the current year and all prior years.

If Tom decides to move out of California in the future, he will pay taxes on a source basis and calculate his carryover items accordingly.

Section 2 Installment sales

AB 1115 repealed Revenue and Taxation Code section 17554, pertaining to income accrual. Beginning this year, California taxes installment gains received by a nonresident from the sale of tangible property on a source basis. Real property is taxed based upon where the property is located. Installment gains from the sale of intangible property are generally

sourced to the recipient's state of residence. Residents are taxed on all income regardless of source.

For those who were always nonresidents

The repeal of section 17554 does not change the taxation of California property installment proceeds for nonresidents who have always been nonresidents. California taxes the installment proceeds received by a nonresident to the extent the income from the sale was from a California source.

Example 2-1: Bob is a nonresident of California. On March 1, 2001, he sold a California rental property in an installment sale. During 2001 and 2002, Bob received installment proceeds comprised of capital gain income and interest income. California taxes the capital gain income in both 2001, and 2002, because the property was located in California. California does not tax the interest income, which has a source in Bob's state of residence.

Example 2-2: Jane is a nonresident of California. On June 4, 2001, she sold a parcel of land located in Idaho on an installment basis. California does not tax the gain on the sale or any of the installment proceeds while Jane is a nonresident because the source of the gain is Idaho.

For former California residents

The repeal of section 17554 may reduce taxes for some former California residents. They will no longer be taxed on installment proceeds received from the sale of property located outside California that was sold while the taxpayer was a resident.

Example 2-3: In June 1999, Jim, while a California resident, sold a parcel of real property located in Washington in an installment sale. On March 1, 2002, Jim became an Ohio resident and on June 1, 2002, he received installment proceeds comprised of capital gain income and interest income. California does not tax

Jim's capital gain income received on June 1, 2002, because the property was not located in California. California also does not tax the interest income because Jim was a nonresident of California when he received the proceeds.

For California residents who were formerly nonresidents

Residents are taxed on all income regardless of source. With the repeal of section 17554, California now taxes installment proceeds received by a resident from the sale of property located outside California that was sold before the taxpayer became a California resident.

Example 2-4: On July 1, 2001, Sue, while a nonresident of California, sold her Texas rental property in an installment sale. On May 15, 2002, Sue became a California resident and on August 1, 2002, she received installment proceeds. California taxes Sue's installment proceeds received on August 1, 2002, because she was a California resident when she received the proceeds.

Example 2-5: On September 1, 2000, Sandra, while a nonresident of California, sold stock (intangible property) in an installment sale. On June 1, 2002, Sandra became a California resident and on October 1, 2002, she received installment proceeds from the stock sale. California taxes Sandra's installment proceeds received on October 1, 2002, because she was a California resident when she received the proceeds.

Continued on page 8

Section 3 Individual retirement accounts

Continued from page 7

AB 1115 sets rules for calculating deferred income. The new laws do not affect the taxation of IRA income, income from employer sponsored retirement plans, and compensation income of a nonresident, whether the nonresident was always a nonresident or was formerly a California resident. However, they do affect California residents who were formerly nonresidents.

For Nonresidents

Compensation received by a nonresident for performance of services is taxed on a source basis. If the services are performed in California, the compensation income is sourced to California. California does not tax the IRA

distributions, qualified pension, profit sharing, and stock bonus plans of a nonresident.

Example 3-1: David, a nonresident of California, lives and works in Wyoming. David's Wyoming firm temporarily assigned him to California for four months to complete a project. David earns \$5,000 per month. California taxes \$20,000 (\$5,000 x 4) as compensation for services having a source in California, the state where David performed four months of his services.

For California residents who were formerly nonresidents

Beginning this year, a former nonresident no longer receives a stepped-up basis for annual contributions and earnings in an Individual Retirement Account (IRA) simply because the individual was a nonresident when the contributions were made.

The new laws treat a former nonresident as though the taxpayer were a resident for all prior years for all items of deferred

income, which includes IRAs. Accordingly, a former nonresident will be allowed a basis for contributions which would not have been allowed under California law had the taxpayer been a California resident.

California did not conform to the \$2,000 or 100% of compensation annual contribution limit permitted under federal law from 1982 through 1986. During these years, California limited the deduction to the lesser of 15% of compensation or \$1,500 and denied a deduction altogether to individuals who were active participants in qualified or government plans. Any amounts an individual contributed in excess of California deduction limits during these years create a basis in the IRA.

Example 3-2: Mary became a California resident on January 1, 2001. The fair market value of her IRA on January 1, 2001, was \$9,000. Her contributions in excess of California deduction limits during 1982-1986 were \$2,500. Mary received IRA distributions of \$1,500 in 2001, and \$3,000 in 2002.

Example 3-2

Tax Year 2001 (pre-AB 1115):

California IRA basis, 1/1/01	\$9,000 (Fair market value on 1/1/01)
Less: IRA distribution	<u>\$1,500</u>
California IRA basis 12/31/01	\$7,500

Tax Year 2002 (post-AB 1115):

IRA distribution, 2002	\$3,000
Less: California IRA basis Contributions in excess of California deduction limits	\$2,500
Less: California IRA basis recovered in 2001	<u>1,500</u>
California IRA basis available in 2002	<u>1,000</u>
Taxable IRA income	\$2,000

Section 4 Employer-sponsored retirement plans

California taxes qualified pension, profit sharing, and stock bonus plan income received by a resident for services performed outside California while the taxpayer was a nonresident.

Example 4-1: Rick permanently moved from Florida to California on January 1, 2002. He received pension income during 2002 through a qualified plan from his former Florida employer. California taxes Rick's qualified pension income because he was a California resident when he received the income.

Continued on page 9

Section 5 Compensation

Continued from page 8

Residents are taxed on all income regardless of source. With the repeal of Revenue and Taxation Code section 17554, California now taxes compensation received by a resident that accrued before the taxpayer became a California resident.

Example 5-1: Jill lived and worked in New York until April 30, 2002. She permanently moved to California on May 1, 2002. Her former New York employer pays its employees on the 5th of every month. On May 8, 2002, Jill received her last paycheck of \$3,000 in the mail from her former New York employer. California taxes the compensation of \$3,000 because Jill was a California resident when she received the income. If Jill also paid tax to New York on this compensation, she is allowed a credit for taxes paid.

Section 6 Stock options

AB 1115 does not affect taxation of employee stock options.

Nonresidents

California taxes the wage income received by a nonresident from employee stock options on a source basis, whether the nonresident was always a nonresident or was formerly a California resident.

Example 6-1: On February 1, 1999, while a California resident, John's company granted nonstatutory stock options to him. John performed all of his services in California from February 1, 1999 to May 1, 2002, the date John left the company

and permanently moved to Texas. On June 1, 2002, John exercised his nonstatutory stock options. California taxes the income resulting from the exercise of the nonstatutory stock as compensation for services having a source in California, the state where John performed all of his services.

For California residents who were formerly nonresidents

California taxes the wage income received by a former nonresident from employee stock options because all the income is recognized while the taxpayer is a California resident.

Example 6-2: On March 1, 1998, while a Nevada resident, Fred was granted nonstatutory stock options. On April 1, 2002, he retired and permanently moved to California. On May 1, 2002, Fred exercised his options. California taxes Fred's compensation resulting from the exercise of his nonstatutory stock options because Fred was a California resident when the income was recognized.

California also taxes the capital gain income received by a former nonresident from the sale of stock in a qualifying disposition of statutory stock options because the stock is sold while the taxpayer is a resident.

Example 6-3: On February 1, 1998, while a Texas resident, Nancy was granted incentive stock options. On February 1, 2001, she exercised her options. On December 1, 2001, Nancy permanently moved to California and sold her stock on March 1, 2002, for a gain. California taxes the resulting capital gain because Nancy was a California resident when she sold the stock.

Get *FTB Publication 1004, Stock Option Guidelines*, for additional information on the California taxation of stock options.

Section 7 Deferred gains (Section 1031 exchanges)

Gain from the sale or exchange of real or tangible personal property located in this state is sourced to California at the time the gain is realized. (*Note: See California Code of Administration, Title 18, Regulation 17951-3. Gains from the sale of intangible property are generally sourced to the recipient's state of residence.*)

If a nonresident taxpayer exchanges property located within California for property located outside California, the realized gain will be sourced to California, although tax will not be assessed until the gain is recognized. This requires nonresidents to keep track of their deferred gains sourced to California so that they can be reported to California in the year the gain is recognized. (*Note: It should be noted that gain from the sale of a principal residence after May 7, 1997, is no longer deferred. Rather, the law provides for an exclusion of gain up to a certain dollar limitation. The non-excluded portion of the gain will be taxable to California for a nonresident if the residence was located in California. Residents are taxed on the gain regardless of the location of the principal residence.*)

If out-of-state real property or tangible personal property is exchanged for real property or tangible personal property located within California and all the requirements under Internal Revenue Code section 1031 have been met, when the California property is disposed of (assuming it is not disposed of in another deferred gain transaction), the gain will be sourced to California.

Continued on page 10

Section 7 Deferred gains (Section 1031 exchanges)

Continued from page 9

California property exchanged for out-of-state property

Example 7-1: Jim, a resident of Texas, owned a condominium in California. Jim exchanged the condominium for like-kind property located in Texas. There was no boot on the exchange that met all of the requirements for Internal Revenue Code section 1031 treatment. Jim realized a gain of \$15,000 on the exchange. Jim must recognize the lesser of the deferred gain of \$15,000 or the gain recognized at the time Jim disposes of the Texas property, assuming he does so in a non-deferred transaction.

Out-of-state property exchanged for California property

Example 7-2: Jane was a resident of Nevada when she exchanged Nevada business property for like-kind California business property. Jane realized a \$10,000 gain on the property that was properly deferred under Internal Revenue Code section 1031. Jane then sells the California property while still a resident of Nevada. Jane recognizes \$50,000 of gain in a non-deferred transaction. Because the property is located in California, the \$50,000 gain is sourced to California.

Example 7-3: John is a resident of Kansas when he exchanges property located in Kansas with property located in California in a transaction that qualifies for Internal Revenue Code section 1031 treatment. There is no boot on the transaction and John realizes \$12,000 of gain. John becomes a resident of California and, while a California resident, he disposes of the California property in a non-deferred transaction. All of the gain recognized will be taxed by

California because John is a resident at the time of the sale. If Kansas taxes a portion of the recognized gain because of the deferral of gain associated with the Kansas property, John may be able to take an *Other State Tax Credit*.

Section 8 Capital gains and losses

Capital loss carryovers and capital loss limitations for nonresidents are determined based upon California source income and loss items only for computation of California Taxable Income.

Example 8-1: Mary, a resident of Oregon, has a \$4,000 capital gain sourced to California, a \$2,000 capital loss sourced to California, a \$1,000 capital gain sourced to Oregon and a \$5,000 capital

Continued on page 11

Example 8-1

	<u>Total Taxable Income</u>	<u>California Taxable Income</u>
CA Capital Gain	\$4,000	\$4,000
CA Capital Loss	(2,000)	(2,000)
ORE Capital Gain	1,000	
ORE Capital Loss	(5,000)	
Total	<u>\$(2,000)</u>	<u>\$2,000</u>

Example 8-2 - 2002 - Nonresident

	<u>Total Taxable Income</u>	<u>California Taxable Income</u>
CA Capital Gain	\$2,000	\$2,000
CA Capital Loss	(6,000)	(6,000)
NY Capital Gain	5,000	
NY Capital Loss	(2,000)	
Total	<u>\$(1,000)</u>	\$ (4,000)
Loss Used in 2002 Carryover		<u>3,000</u> <u>\$(1,000)</u>

Section 8 Capital gains and losses

Continued from page 10

loss sourced to Oregon. For determining California Taxable Income, Mary will net her California capital gains and losses. Mary has a \$2,000 net capital gain that is included in her California Taxable Income.

Example 8-2 (see page 10) 2002 - Nonresident

In 2002, Mike, a New York resident, has a \$2,000 capital gain sourced to California, a \$6,000 capital loss sourced to California, a \$5,000 capital gain sourced to New York and a \$2,000 capital loss sourced to New York. For determining California Taxable Income, Mike will net his California sourced capital gains and losses. Mike will have a net capital loss of \$4,000. He can take \$3,000 of that loss this year and carryforward \$1,000 to 2003.

Example 8-2 2003 - Resident

Assume Mike became a California resident beginning January 1, 2003. Mike's capital loss carryforward needs to be restated as if he had been a resident for all prior years. Assuming that Mike had no other capital gains or losses prior to 2002, Mike would have no capital loss carryforward into 2003 because he had a net capital loss of \$1,000 for 2002, computed as if he was a resident for that year, which is within the \$3,000 limitation.

During 2003, Mike has a \$5,000 capital gain from the sale of property located in another state and a \$4,000 capital loss from property located in California. As a resident of California, Mike will net his gain and loss for a net \$1,000 capital gain. If Mike had been a nonresident, he would have had a \$4,000 capital loss sourced to California. He would have taken \$3,000 of that amount and would have carried forward \$1,000.

Example 8-2 - 2003 Resident

	<u>California Taxable Income</u>
Capital Gain	\$5,000
Capital Loss	<u>(4,000)</u>
Total	\$1,000

As a resident, the source of the items does not matter because all income is taxed. If Mike had been a nonresident, only the \$4,000 capital loss would have been sourced to California.

Example 8-2 -2004 Nonresident

	<u>Capital Loss Carryover</u>
Carryover from 2002	\$1,000
Carryover from 2003	<u>1,000</u>
Total carryover to 2004	<u>\$2,000</u>

Example 8-3

	<u>Total Taxable Income</u>	<u>California Taxable Income</u>
CA §1231 Gain	\$3,000	\$3,000
CA §1231 Loss	(2,000)	<u>(2,000)</u>
WA §1231 Gain	4,000	
WA §1231 Loss	<u>(5,000)</u>	
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$1,000</u>

Example 8-4- Nonresident

	<u>Total Taxable Income</u>	<u>California Taxable Income</u>
CA §1231 Gain	\$2,500	\$2,500
CA §1231 Loss	(3,000)	<u>(3,000)</u>
FL §1231 Gain	1,000	
FL §1231 Loss	<u>(500)</u>	
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$(500)</u>

Example 8-2 2004 - Nonresident

Assume that on January 1, 2004, Mike becomes a nonresident again. He restates his carryforwards taking into account items of gain and loss sourced to California. On a source basis, Mike had a \$1,000 capital loss carryforward from 2002. In 2003, he had a \$4,000 capital loss carryforward. He would have been allowed to use \$3,000 of that loss in 2003 and would have carried forward \$1,000 from 2003 and \$1,000 from 2002 into 2004.

Characterization of Gains and Losses

The character of gains or losses on the sale or exchange of property used in a trade or business or certain involuntary conversions (Internal Revenue Code section 1231 gains and losses) are determined for purposes of calculating California Taxable Income by netting California sourced section 1231 gains and losses only.

Continued on page 12

Section 8 Capital gains and losses

Continued from page 11

Example 8-3: (see page 11) Peter, a resident of Washington, has a \$3,000 section 1231 gain sourced to California, a \$2,000 section 1231 loss sourced to California, a \$4,000 section 1231 gain sourced to Washington and a \$5,000 section 1231 loss sourced to Washington. For determining California Taxable Income, Peter will net his \$3,000 gain and his \$2,000 loss for a \$1,000 net section 1231 gain sourced to California. This gain will be a capital gain.

Example 8-4: (see page 11) Paula, a resident of Florida, has a \$2,500 section 1231 gain sourced to California, a \$3,000 section 1231 loss sourced to California, a \$1,000 section 1231 gain sourced to Florida and a \$500 section 1231 loss sourced to Florida. For determining California Taxable Income, Paula will net her \$2,500 gain and her \$3,000 loss for a \$500 net section 1231 loss. This loss will be ordinary.

Section 9 Suspended gains and losses

For those who were always nonresidents

For the computation of California Taxable Income of nonresidents, the amount of

passive activity losses allowed and the amount that is suspended are determined based only upon California source passive income and loss items. (*Note: For aggregation purposes, only those activities with a California source may be aggregated. Only the California source passive losses carry forward into the subsequent year.*)

Example 9-1: Charles, a resident of Arizona, owns three rental properties. Properties A and B are located in Arizona, and Property C is located in California. In 2002, the Arizona rental property activities resulted in net income of \$1,000 for Property A and \$4,000 for Property B. Property C incurred a \$26,000 net loss.

Continued on page 13

Example 9-1A - 2002

	Total Taxable Income	Total Suspended losses to 2003	CA Taxable Income	CA Suspended Losses to 2003
AZ Property A	\$1,000	-	-	-
AZ Property B	4,000	-	-	
CA Property C	(\$26,000)	-	(\$26,000)	(\$1,000)**
Suspended Loss to 2003			\$1,000	
Total	(\$21,000)*		(\$25,000)*	(\$1,000)**

*Internal Revenue Code section 469 (i) \$25,000 passive activity loss offset

** (\$26,000) + \$25,000 (passive activity loss offset) = (\$1,000) carryforward

Example 9-1B - 2003

	Total Taxable Income	Total Suspended losses to 2004	CA Taxable Income	CA Suspended Losses to 2004
AZ Property A	(\$2,000)	-	-	-
AZ Property B	(\$1,000)	-	-	
CA Property C	\$2,000	-	\$2,000	-
Prior Year Suspended Loss Allowed			(\$1,000)	
Total	(\$1,000)		\$1,000	

**Section 9
Suspended gains and losses**

Continued from page 12

In determining Charles' California Taxable Income, his gross income and deductions may only include those items derived from sources within this state. (Note: *Revenue and Taxation Code section 17041(i)(1)(B).*)

All of Charles' passive losses have been allowed for federal purposes. But since only California sourced items are considered for California Taxable Income, Charles exceeded the \$25,000 passive activity loss offset and must carry forward the \$1,000 remaining loss for California purposes.

Assume in 2003 (See Example 9-1B, page 12), Property A has a \$2,000 loss, Property B has a \$1,000 loss and Property C has \$2,000 of net income.

Even though Charles has a loss for federal purposes, he has a gain on his California sourced property. For California purposes, in 2003 Charles may use his \$1,000 in suspended losses from 2002 against the net income of his California property.

Example 9-2: Sierra, a resident of New York, owns rental property located in California, is a member of a Limited Liability Company doing business exclusively within California that elected to be taxed as a partnership, and is a shareholder of an S Corporation doing business solely within Texas. In 2002, Sierra's rental property had a \$2,000 loss, her share of the Limited Liability Company losses was \$5,000 and her share of the S Corporation income was \$1,000.

Sierra is allowed to take the full \$2,000 loss on the rental property under the special real estate allowance. (Note: *Internal Revenue and Taxation Code section 469(i).*)

She may, however, only take her other losses to the extent that they are offset by gains. For California Taxable Income purposes, Sierra may not offset the LLC losses against the Subchapter S Corporation income because the S Corporation income is sourced to Texas, not California. Therefore, she will carry forward the entire \$5,000 loss. For federal purposes, Sierra will offset the Texas S corporation income with \$1,000 of the LLC loss and carry forward the remaining \$4,000.

For California residents who were formerly nonresidents

When a nonresident becomes a resident, all prior year items are restated as if the taxpayer had been a California resident for all prior years.

Example 9-3: Assume Sierra becomes a California resident on January 1, 2003. When Sierra becomes a resident, she restates her carryovers into 2003 as if she had always been a resident. Had she been a resident in 2002, she would have been able to offset her Texas S corporation income and she would have had only \$4,000 of loss to carry forward. Therefore, her suspended loss carryover from 2002 to 2003 as a resident is \$4,000.

Continued on page 14

Example 9-2 - 2002				
	<u>Total Taxable Income</u>	<u>Total Suspended losses to 2003</u>	<u>CA Taxable Income</u>	<u>CA Suspended Losses to 2003</u>
CA Rental Property	(\$2,000)	—	—	—
CA LLC.	(\$5,000)	(\$4,000)	(\$5,000)	(\$5,000)
Texas S Corp	\$1,000	—	—	—
Suspended Loss to 2003	\$4,000		\$5,000	
Total	<u>(\$2,000)</u>	<u>(\$4,000)</u>	<u>(\$2,000)</u>	<u>(\$5,000)</u>

Section 10 Net operating losses and deductions

Continued from page 13

The net operating loss (NOL) deduction allowed in computing the California Taxable Income of a nonresident or part-year resident for the portion of the year the part-year resident is a nonresident is no longer limited by the amount of net operating loss from all sources.

For those who were always nonresidents

Starting January 1, 2002, a nonresident is allowed a net operating loss deduction for California Taxable Income purposes based upon sourced income and deductions, regardless of whether he or she has a net operating loss in computing Total Taxable Income.

Example 10-1: Kim is a resident of Texas and operates two businesses – one conducts business wholly within California and the other wholly without California. (*Note: The two businesses are not unitary.*) In 2001 and 2002, Kim's businesses produced the following results (See example 10-1 located at the top of the right column.)

For years prior to 2002, California law did not allow Kim a NOL carryover for California Taxable Income purposes because Kim did not have a NOL carryover from all sources. Kim is not able to utilize the \$2,000 loss from 2001 for California purposes in future years.

Beginning January 1, 2002, only California sourced items are considered in determining if a taxpayer has a California NOL. For 2002, Kim has a California NOL of \$3,000. Kim may carryover 60% of the \$3,000 NOL, or \$1,800, to 2003 to offset her California Source Income.

Continued on page 15

Example 10-1A 2001	Total Taxable Income	California Taxable Income
Texas Business	\$7,000	
California Business	(<u>\$2,000</u>)	(<u>\$2,000</u>)
Total	\$5,000	(\$2,000)

Example 10-1B 2002	Total Taxable Income	California Taxable Income
Texas Business	\$9,000	
California Business	(<u>\$3,000</u>)	(<u>\$3,000</u>)
Total	\$6,000	(\$3,000)

Example 10-2 2002	Total Taxable Income	
Nevada Business	\$1,000	
California Business	(<u>\$3,000</u>)	
Total	\$2,000	
	<u> </u>	
	x 60%	
Net Operating Loss, 2002	(\$1,200)	
Prior Year NOL Carryover	(\$2,000)	
Net Operating loss Carryover to 2003	(\$3,200)	

Example 10-3 2002 (As if Nonresident)	Total Taxable Income	California Taxable Income
Nevada Business	\$1,000	
California Business	(<u>\$3,000</u>)	(<u>\$3,000</u>)
Total	(\$2,000)	(\$3,000)
	<u> </u>	
	x 60%	x 60%
Net Operating Loss, 2002	(\$1,200)	(\$1,800)
Prior Year NOL Carryover	(\$2,000)	
NOL Carryover to 2003	(\$3,200)	(\$1,800)

Example 10-3 2003 (Nonresident)	Total Taxable Income	California Taxable Income
Nevada Business	\$5,000	
California Business	(<u>\$4,000</u>)	(<u>\$4,000</u>)
Total	\$9,000	\$4,000
NOL Carryover from 2002	(<u>\$3,200</u>)	(<u>\$1,800</u>)
Total	\$5,800	\$2,200

Section 10 Net operating losses and deductions

Continued from page 14

For California residents who were formerly nonresidents

When a nonresident becomes a resident, all prior year items are restated as if the taxpayer had been a California resident for all prior years.

Example 10-2: (see page 14) Nick moves to California on January 1, 2002. Prior to 2002, Nick incurred \$2,000 in NOL carryovers from his Nevada business under California law. In 2002, Nick incurs a \$3,000 loss from his California business and income of \$1,000 from his Nevada business. For California purposes, Nick has a current year carryover loss of \$1,200 and may carryover \$3,200 to future years.

Example 10-3: (See page 14) Assume the same facts as Example 10-2, but Nick moves back to Nevada on January 1, 2003 and is full year nonresident. In 2003, Nick's Nevada business incurs income of \$5,000 and his California business incurs income of \$4,000.

To compute his 2003 California Taxable Income, Nick restates his 2002 NOLs as if he had been a nonresident for all prior years. Nick has a net operating loss carryover to tax year 2003 of \$1,800.

Section 11 Basis in pass-through entities

A taxpayer-owner's basis in a pass-through entity (*Note: Pass-through entities include partnerships, S corporations and LLCs that elect to be treated as partnerships. The term "owner" is used here to refer to a partner, shareholder or member of a pass-through entity.*) for California purposes is equal to his or her contributions to capital, adjusted (as required under California law) by California sourced items only.

For those who were always nonresidents

Example 11-1: Brandi, a resident of Nevada, invests \$10,000 in Partnership Z. Brandi is a 50 percent partner. At the close of the year, the partnership had generated a \$4,000 loss, 30 percent, or \$1,200, of which is sourced to California. Brandi's share of the California loss is 50 percent, or \$600. (*Note: See Regulation 17951-4(d) regarding sourcing of distributive shares of a multistate partnership.*)

Brandi's adjusted basis in Partnership Z as of December 31, 2002 is \$9,400; her initial investment of \$10,000 less the \$600 distributive share. (*Note: This California adjusted basis is used for determining loss limitations while Brandi is a nonresident. If Brandi sells*

her partnership interest while she is a nonresident, the gain or loss on the sale would not be sourced to California, unless her partnership interest had a business situs in California. (Appeal of Ames et al., 87-SBE-042, June 17, 1987.))

For California residents who were formerly nonresidents

When a nonresident owner becomes a resident of California, his or her basis in the pass-through entity is restated under California law as if the former nonresident had been a resident for all prior periods. Basis is adjusted for his or her share of flow through items, regardless of source, generated during periods of nonresidency.

Example 11-2: Brandi, from Example 11-1, becomes a resident of California on January 1, 2003. Brandi's basis as of December 31, 2002, would be restated to include all adjustments, regardless of source. Her initial investment is reduced by \$2,000 – 50% of the \$4,000 loss incurred by the partnership. Her adjusted basis as of January 1, 2003 is \$8,000.

Continued on page 16

Editor's note:

At press time the budget (AB 2065) had not been signed. Therefore, the examples shown in this article do not reflect the suspension of net operating expenses.

**Section 12
Alternative
minimum tax**

Continued from page 15

AB 1115 changed the Alternative Minimum Tax computation for nonresidents and part-year residents to parallel the changes in the regular tax computation.

California Alternative Minimum Tax

The California Alternative Minimum Tax of a nonresident or part-year resident is the amount by which the California tentative minimum tax exceeds the prorated regular tax.

California Tentative Minimum Tax

The California Tentative Minimum Tax is the California alternative minimum taxable income multiplied by a rate. The rate is the amount of tax on total tentative minimum tax divided by the total alternative minimum taxable income. The computation is as follows:

The California Alternative Minimum Taxable Income

The California Alternative Minimum Taxable Income is the alternative minimum taxable income derived from California sources for any part of the taxable year that the taxpayer was a nonresident *plus*

The alternative minimum taxable income from all sources for any part of the taxable year that the taxpayer was a resident.

For the period of nonresidency, any carryovers, deferred income, suspended losses, or suspended deductions are included or allowable only to the extent they were derived from California sources.

<p>California Alternative Minimum Tax California Alternative Minimum Tax <i>equals:</i> California Tentative Minimum Tax <i>minus</i> Prorated regular tax</p> <p>California Tentative Minimum Tax California Tentative Minimum Tax <i>equals:</i> California Alternative Minimum Taxable Income <i>multiplied by</i> Total Tentative Minimum Tax</p>

To calculate the percentage for California:	Instruction
AMT Tax Rate	<p>Divide the total tentative minimum tax by the total alternative minimum taxable income.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Total Tentative Minimum Tax</i> <i>Total Alternative Minimum Taxable Income</i></p>
AMT Itemized Deductions	<p>Divide the California alternative minimum tax adjusted gross income by the total alternative minimum tax adjusted gross income.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calif. Alternative Minimum Tax AGI</i> <i>Total Alternative Minimum Tax AGI</i></p>

Total Alternative Minimum Taxable Income

The Total Alternative Minimum Taxable Income is the Alternative Minimum Taxable Income determined as if the nonresident or part-year resident were a California resident in the current year; and, in all prior years for any carryovers, deferred income, suspended losses, or suspended deductions.

Total Tentative Minimum Tax

The Total Tentative Minimum Tax is the tax on the Total Alternative Minimum Tax Income.

Example 12-1: (See page 17) The following example illustrates the computation of the alternative minimum tax.

Rick and Rita moved to California and became residents on May 1, 2002. Rick and Rita had \$170,000 in combined wages

for the year. They received \$100,000 after their move to California. On October 1, 2002, Rita exercised an incentive stock option valued at \$90,000 for which she paid \$10,000 (preference amount \$80,000). The total taxable income for the year was \$150,000. The total itemized deductions for the year was \$20,000. Five thousand (\$5,000) of the itemized deductions are real and personal property taxes, which are preferences items. Their prorated regular tax was \$6,035.

Credit Ordering – Credit for Prior Year Alternative Minimum Tax

AB 1115 clarifies that the Credit for Prior Year Alternative Minimum Tax is applied **before** any credit that can reduce regular tax below the tentative minimum tax. (Note: *Personal Income Tax – R&TC sections 17039(a), 17039(c) and*

Continued on page 17

Section 12: Alternative minimum tax

Continued from page 16
17063(c) Bank and Corporation Tax - R&TC sections 23036(c), 23036(d) and 23453)

Prior to this change, taxpayers were allowed to claim credits that can reduce regular tax below tentative minimum tax

before and *after* the application of the Credit for Prior Year Alternative Minimum Tax. This change resolves conflicting provisions in the law over whether the Credit for Prior Year Alternative Minimum Tax should be applied before or after credits that can reduce regular tax below the tentative minimum tax.

Example 12-1

Calculation of Rick and Rita's 2002 California Alternative Minimum Tax:

Total Alternative Minimum Taxable Income

Real and personal property tax preference	\$5,000
Plus: Incentive stock option preference	+ \$80,000
Plus: Total taxable income	+ <u>\$150,000</u>
Total Alternative Minimum Taxable Income	+ \$235,000

Total Tentative Minimum Tax

Total Alternative Minimum Taxable Income	\$235,000
Less: Exemption amount*	(-\$64,152)
	\$170,848
Alternative Minimum Tax rate	<u>x .07</u>
Total Tentative Minimum Tax	\$11,959

California Alternative Minimum Tax Adjusted Gross Income

California (regular tax) adjusted gross income	\$100,000
Plus: Incentive stock option preference amount	+ \$ 80,000
California Alternative Minimum Tax Adjusted Gross Income	\$180,000

Total Alternative Minimum Tax Adjusted Gross Income

Total Alternative Minimum Taxable Income	\$235,000
Total itemized deductions	\$20,000
Less: Real and personal property tax preference	(-\$5,000)
Total Alternative Minimum Tax	
Itemized Deductions	+ <u>\$15,000</u>
Total Alternative Minimum Tax Adjusted Gross Income	\$250,000

California Alternative Minimum Taxable Income

Total Alternative Minimum Tax	
Itemized Deductions	\$15,000
Multiply \$15,000 by the following ratio:	
California Alternative Minimum Tax Adjusted Gross Income	<u>\$180,000</u>
Total Alternative Minimum Tax Adjusted Gross Income	\$250,000
Equals: .7200	<u>.7200</u>

Equals:	
Prorated alternative minimum tax itemized deductions	- \$10,800

California Alternative Minimum Taxable Income (\$180,000 minus \$10,800)	\$169,200
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------

California Alternative Minimum Tax

California Alternative Minimum Taxable Income	\$169,200
Multiply \$169,200 by the following ratio:	
Total Tentative Minimum Tax	<u>\$11,959</u>
Total Alternative Minimum Taxable Income	\$235,000
Equals: .0509	<u>.0509</u>

California Tentative Minimum Taxable Income	\$8,612
Less: Prorated regular tax	- <u>\$6,035</u>

California Alternative Minimum Tax	\$2,577
-------------------------------------------	----------------

* For purposes of Example 12-1, the 2001 exemption amount was used.

October 15 -- Last day to e-file state returns

Remember, you can still e-file your clients' refund and balance due California tax returns during the extension period through October 15, 2002.

If you are not yet an approved e-file provider, and you want to e-file your clients' tax returns during the extension

period, complete our fillable application at www.ftb.ca.gov/elecserve/efile/elfhowto.html or call our e-file Help Desk at (916) 845-0353. Once you've completed the application, you can fax a signed copy to us for processing at (916) 845-0287.

While at our Website, check out the

many benefits of e-file and the other electronic services available. We also offer a new free e-file option that allows you or your clients to prepare returns offline and then submit these returns directly to us over the Internet through our Direct Filing Portal. Find out more about this option at www.ftb.ca.gov/dfp/index.html

Your suggestions lead to better FTB e-file program

Many of the improvements we make to the e-file program come from your suggestions.

Here are some improvements we've made since 2001:

- 1] We added seven new forms, including:
 - *FTB 3506—Child and Dependent Care Expenses Credit (New for California)*
 - *Schedule R—Apportionment and Allocation of Income*
 - *Schedule S—Other State Tax Credit*
- 2] We also added five credit forms

and the married filing separate filing status.

- 3] We now accept decedent returns.
- 4] We added enhancements to our up-front error checking process for e-file returns to ensure accuracy and to reduce notices to your clients.
- 5] We made it easier for you to participate in our program by reducing the need for original signatures.
- 6] We now accept faxed copies of e-file applications (form FTB 8633 – *California Application to Participate in the e-file Program*).
- 7] You can now also accept a fax copy

of your client's signed form FTB 8453- *Signature Document*.

- 8] We now accept electronic postmarks, which mark a return with the actual time you sent it to your transmitter. (Ask your software provider.)
- 9] We made our e-file participant application (FTB 8633) fillable on our Website.

As we move toward 2003 and a new e-file season, look to *Tax News* and our Website, www.ftb.ca.gov, for the latest e-file program improvements and changes in store for next year. And keep those suggestions coming!

Go online to maximize your *Tax News* subscription

Here are three ways to increase the value of your *Tax News* subscription with a single click of your mouse button.

Tax News email Service

Let us deliver *Tax News* right to your computer's electronic mailbox, free of charge. The service is called *Tax News* e-mail Service and it provides you with the

same information found in our printed, bimonthly newsletter, only it's free. Here's how it works:

Before we put the hardcopy of

Tax News in the mail, we'll send you an email with a hyperlink to our Webpage. One click of the mouse and you're now reading the most current issue of *Tax*

News. You can print a hardcopy or forward it (or specific articles) to your colleagues and customers.

Tax e-News

When you sign up for *Tax News* by email, you can also sign up to receive *Tax e-News*, our early warning system for tax

Continued on page 19

Need more time? Make request online

Here's the scenario. It's 5 p.m. and a client walks into your office, hands you one of our notices, and says by the way, I got this in the mail a few weeks ago. The notice says we want your client to file a missing tax return, and we want a response tomorrow!

What are your options? Do you stay until midnight going through shoeboxes full of receipts so you can get the return done? Do you send the return late and hope no one notices? Or do you put a "gone fishing" sign on your door and call it a day?

If none of those options seem like good ones, here's one you might not know about, but can save you lots of stress in these situations.

You can request additional time to file via the web. All you need is an Internet connection and the Franchise Tax Board notice number. Go to our Webpage at www.ftb.ca.gov/INC, enter the notice number in the space provided and click *Submit*.

Next, click on the option that says *I Need More Time To Reply*. Follow the

instructions on the page and once we approve the 30-day deferral, you'll have the option of printing a confirmation page (for your client's records).

In most cases we will automatically approve your request, however there are some situations where we will not automatically approve a request for additional time (such as if the maximum time has already been exceeded).

It's as easy as that. PS. Since you just got 30 more days, you can still take off and go fishing if you want!

Paperless Schedules K-1 Program expands

Our Paperless Schedules K-1(565) Program is the most efficient way to submit Schedule K-1 information for partnerships. You can now submit paperless Schedules K-1(568) for limited liability companies as well.

Beginning with taxable year 2001, any limited liability company that is required to file a Form 568 can send us paperless Schedules K-1 (568), by CD, diskette, or tape cartridge instead of sending paper schedules. It's a very efficient filing option because it significantly reduces the resources you use to produce and file schedules, and, it reduces the resources we use to process and store them.

Best of all, the process is virtually flawless because we provide you, free of charge, software called TestWare to help you prepare error-free Schedules K-1 (568) files. Our *Pub. 1062—Guide for Filing Paperless Schedules K-1 (565 or 568)* contains detailed information on the media we can accept and how to send it in. If you want to know more about our paperless Schedules K-1 Program, go to our Electronic Services Webpage at www.ftb.ca.gov/electserv/index.html. You can also contact the program coordinator, Tina Stiles, at (916) 845-6060 or email her at tina.stiles@ftb.ca.gov.

FTB/IRS e-file seminars

Plans are underway to conduct the annual FTB/IRS e-file seminars this fall. The seminars will be held in selected cities throughout California. We will post the details about the seminars as soon as they are finalized, so we encourage you to visit our Website, www.ftb.ca.gov, periodically to find out the dates and locations of the seminars.

Go online to maximize your *Tax News* subscription

Continued from page 18

professionals. When we have late-breaking news, you'll get it first with a click of the mouse.

Tax News Online

Tax News Online (www.ftb.ca.gov/education/taxnews/Index.html) is our official Webpage for tax professionals. Keep your browser pointed to *Tax News Online* for news and information about the Franchise Tax Board and events affecting state income taxes. Some of the Webpage features include the *Tax News Archives*

(www.ftb.ca.gov/education/taxnews/tn_arc.html) and the Tax Practitioner Services Directory.

Subscribe now

You can subscribe electronically to any of our products or services. Go to our *Tax News Subscriptions Webpage* at www.ftb.ca.gov/education/taxnews/tn_sub.html and complete the online order form.

To subscribe using email, send your request to Tax_e-News@ftb.ca.gov. Or call the *Tax News Help desk* at (916) 845-7070.

State of Missouri offers tax amnesty program

Beginning August 1, 2002, and ending October 31, 2002, individual and business taxpayers who owe the state of Missouri back taxes will have a one-time opportunity to pay their back taxes and have interest and penalties waived.

During this three-month tax amnesty program, all interest and penalties on taxes owed for eligible periods will be waived, provided full payment of tax due, lien fees, and bad check charges is made and postmarked by October 31, 2002.

Several types of taxes are eligible for inclusion in the tax amnesty. To be

eligible for the program, taxes must have been due on or before December 31, 2001, and cannot be pending in a civil, criminal, or bankruptcy court. Lien fees and bad check charges are not eligible for tax amnesty.

Taxpayers who participate in tax amnesty must agree to comply with state tax laws for the next three years from the date of agreement.

Taxpayers who owe the state of Missouri money and do not participate in the Tax Amnesty Program will be required to pay the full amount of taxes owed, interest,

and penalties and will be subject to all criminal and civil actions provided by law. If you or a client may have an unpaid tax liability with the State of Missouri, you may apply to participate in the program.

To download a Tax Amnesty Application, or to get additional information, please visit the from the Missouri Department of Revenue's Web site at: www.dor.state.mo.us/tax, or call (573) 751-7200.

Note: A similar measure, Senate Bill 1439, was considered by the California Legislature.

Address Service Requested

PO BOX 2708
RANCHO CORDOVA CA 95741-2708

Tax News

Presorted
First-Class Mail
U.S. Postage Paid
Sacramento, CA
Permit No. 312