



EFFECTIVE DATE

This bill would be effective and operative on January 1, 1999.

Implementation Considerations

One consideration raised in FTB's analysis of the bill as introduced is resolved by these amendments (re: form and manner in which the disclosure and acknowledgment by the vendor would be made), but the following provisions continue to be unclear:

- It is unclear as to which employees are "subject" to earnings assignment orders and for what time period the acknowledgment would be made. From discussion with the author's office, the intent is to require disclosure only of earning assignment orders that exist at the time of the bid.
- The bill as amended would require DSS to confirm to the contracting agency that the vendor disclosed and acknowledged compliance as required; however, there is no penalty and contracts are not voidable if the requirements are not met. Therefore, it is unclear what is expected of the public agency if confirmation by DSS is not received.
- Most enforcement activities are conducted by a match of the social security number. Because this provision is for enforcement purposes, the inclusion of the social security number as an item of disclosure would be beneficial.

As to the requirement for sharing of data by state agencies, staff does not anticipate significant implementation problems. Staff would work with California Parent Locator Service or DSS to incorporate, as needed, its contract information into the applicable record system and incorporate this information source into FTB's existing enforcement procedures.

BOARD POSITION

Neutral. At its meeting of March 26, 1998, the Franchise Tax Board took a neutral position on this bill on a 2-0 vote, with Robin J. Dezember, on behalf of Member Craig L. Brown, abstaining.