

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author: Niello et al. Analyst: Scott McFarlane Bill Number: AB 1779
 Related Bills: See Leg. History Telephone: 845-6075 Amended Date: May 3, 2010
 Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: _____

SUBJECT: Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Modification and Extension

DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended _____.

AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.

AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended _____.

FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.

DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO _____.

REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED

February 9, 2009, STILL APPLIES.

OTHER – See comments below.

SUMMARY

This bill would allow a taxpayer that had all or part of the loan balance on their principal residence forgiven by their lender in 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012 to exclude up to a maximum of \$2,000,000 from gross income.

SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

In General

The May 3, 2010, amendments would provide that no interest or penalties would be imposed with respect to discharges occurring in the 2009 taxable year and would add 18 coauthors.

Recent Change to State Law

State law with respect to mortgage forgiveness debt relief changed on April 12, 2010, with the enactment of SB 401 (Chapter 14, Statutes of 2010). Thus, the "FEDERAL/STATE LAW," "LEGISLATIVE HISTORY," and "ECONOMIC IMPACT" sections have been revised to reflect both the May 3, 2010, amendments, and the April 12, 2010, change to state law.

Board Position:

_____ S _____ NA _____ NP
 _____ SA _____ O _____ NAR
 _____ N _____ OUA PENDING

Legislative Director

Date

Brian Putler

06/10/10

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

It appears that the purpose of this bill is to allow a taxpayer that had a loan balance of more than \$800,000 on their principal residence forgiven by their lender in 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012 to exclude, without current-law limitations, up to a maximum of \$2,000,000 from gross income.

EFFECTIVE/OPERATIVE DATE

As a tax levy, this bill would be effective immediately and would be operative for discharges of indebtedness occurring on or after January 1, 2007, and before January 1, 2013.

POSITION

Pending.

Summary of Suggested Amendments

Amendments one and two are suggested to provide that no penalties or interest would be imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2008 taxable year.

ANALYSIS

FEDERAL/STATE LAW

FEDERAL LAW

Income from Discharge of Indebtedness

Gross income includes income that is realized by a debtor from the discharge of indebtedness, subject to certain exceptions for debtors in Title 11 bankruptcy cases, insolvent debtors, certain student loans, certain farm indebtedness, certain real property business indebtedness, and qualified principal residence indebtedness (Internal Revenue Code (IRC) sections 61(a)(12) and 108). In cases involving discharges of indebtedness that are excluded from gross income under the exceptions to the general rule, taxpayers generally reduce certain tax attributes, including basis in property, by the amount of the discharge of indebtedness.

The amount of discharge of indebtedness excluded from income by an insolvent debtor not in a Title 11 bankruptcy case cannot exceed the amount by which the debtor is insolvent. In the case of a discharge in bankruptcy or where the debtor is insolvent, any reduction in basis may not exceed the excess of the aggregate bases of properties held by the taxpayer immediately after the discharge over the aggregate of the liabilities immediately after the discharge (IRC section 1017).

Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief

The Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-142)

The Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, enacted December 20, 2007, excludes from the gross income of a taxpayer any discharge-of-indebtedness income by reason of a discharge of qualified principal residence indebtedness occurring on or after January 1, 2007, and before January 1, 2010. Qualified principal residence indebtedness means acquisition indebtedness (within the meaning of IRC section 163(h)(3)(B)), up to \$2,000,000. Acquisition indebtedness with respect to a principal residence generally means indebtedness incurred in the acquisition, construction, or substantial improvement of the principal residence of the individual and secured by the residence. It also includes refinancing of such debt to the extent the amount of the refinancing does not exceed the amount of the indebtedness being refinanced.¹

If, immediately before the discharge, only a portion of a discharged indebtedness is qualified principal residence indebtedness, the exclusion applies only to so much of the amount discharged as exceeds the portion of the debt that is not qualified principal residence indebtedness. Thus, assume that a principal residence is secured by an indebtedness of \$1 million, of which \$800,000 is qualified principal residence indebtedness. If the residence is sold for \$700,000 and \$300,000 debt is discharged, then only \$100,000 of the amount discharged may be excluded from gross income under this provision.

The individual's adjusted basis in their principal residence is reduced by the amount excluded from income under the Act. Under the Act, the exclusion does not apply to a taxpayer in a Title 11 case; instead, the present-law exclusion applies. In the case of an insolvent taxpayer not in a Title 11 case, the exclusion under the Act applies unless the taxpayer elects to have the present-law exclusion apply.

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-343)

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, enacted October 3, 2008, extended the gross-income exclusion of any discharge-of-indebtedness income by reason of a discharge of qualified principal residence indebtedness by three years (i.e. the exclusion applies to discharges occurring before January 1, 2013.)

¹ The term "principal residence" has the same meaning as the home sale exclusion rules under IRC section 121. Refer to federal Treasury Regulation section 1.121-1 for the facts and circumstances used to determine "principal residence."

STATE LAW

California generally conforms to the federal rules for the exclusion of discharge-of-indebtedness income by reason of a discharge of qualified principal residence indebtedness, with the following modifications:

- The maximum amount of qualified principal residence indebtedness (i.e., the amount of principal residence indebtedness eligible for the exclusion) is reduced.
 - The California maximum amount of qualified principal residence indebtedness is \$800,000 (\$400,000 in the case of a married/registered domestic partner (RDP) individual filing a separate return).
 - The federal maximum amount of qualified principal residence indebtedness is \$2,000,000 (\$1,000,000 in the case of a married individual filing a separate return).
- The total amount that may be excluded from gross income is limited.
 - For discharges occurring in 2007 or 2008, California limits the total amount that may be excluded from gross income to \$250,000 (\$125,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return).
 - For discharges occurring in 2009, 2010, 2011 or 2012, California limits the total amount that may be excluded from gross income to \$500,000 (\$250,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return).
 - There is no comparable federal limitation in any year.
- Interest and penalties shall not be imposed on 2007 or 2009 discharges.
 - California prohibits the imposition of any interest or penalties resulting from a discharge of qualified principal residence that occurred during the 2007 or 2009 taxable years.
 - There is no comparable federal prohibition.

THIS BILL

This bill would provide the same exclusion from gross income for mortgage forgiveness debt relief that is allowed under federal law for discharges occurring on or after January 1, 2007, and before January 1, 2013; that is, this bill would remove the current California modifications to federal law that limit qualified principal residence indebtedness and limit the total amount that may be excluded.

Qualified principal residence indebtedness would mean acquisition indebtedness,² up to \$2,000,000; for discharges occurring on or after January 1, 2007, and before January 1, 2013, this bill would exclude from the gross income of a taxpayer any discharge-of-indebtedness income by reason of a discharge of such qualified principal residence indebtedness.

This bill would not change the current-law prohibition of the imposition of any interest or penalties resulting from a discharge of qualified principal residence that occurred during the 2007 or 2009 taxable years.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Individuals who had a loan balance of more than \$800,000 on their principal residence forgiven by their lender in their 2008 taxable year could be subject to penalties and interest. Amendments one and two are suggested to provide that no interest or penalties would be imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2008 taxable year.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

AB 111 (2009/2010, Niello) is similar to this bill, except that it would not provide that penalties and interest would not be imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2009 taxable year. That bill was held in the Senate Revenue and Taxation Committee.

AB 1580 (2009/2010, Calderon) would have extended mortgage forgiveness debt relief through 2012, modified to provide that the total amount excludable would have been limited to \$500,000 (\$250,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), and qualified principal residence indebtedness would have been limited to \$800,000 (\$400,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return). That bill was vetoed by the Governor on October 11, 2009.

ABX6 7 (Blakeslee et al., 2009/2010) is identical to this bill. That bill is currently at the Assembly Desk.

AB 1918 (Niello, 2007/2008) was nearly identical to SB 1055 (Machado/Correa, 2007/2008), except that it did not contain the \$250,000/\$125,000 exclusion limitation. That bill was held in the Assembly Appropriations Committee.

SB 97 (2009/2010, Calderon and Correa) would have extended mortgage forgiveness debt relief through 2012, modified to provide that the total amount excludable would have been limited to \$500,000 (\$250,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), qualified principal residence indebtedness would have been limited to \$800,000 (\$400,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), and interest and penalties would not be imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2009 taxable year. That bill was returned to the Secretary of the Senate.

² Within the meaning of IRC section 163(h)(3)(B).

SB 401 (Wolk, 2009/2010, Ch. 14, Laws 2010) generally conforms California law to the federal extension of mortgage forgiveness debt relief provided in the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, with the following modifications: (1) the exclusion applies to discharges occurring in 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012; (2) the total amount of qualified principal residence indebtedness is limited to \$800,000 (\$400,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return); (3) the total amount excludable is limited to \$500,000 (\$250,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return); and (4) interest and penalties are not imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2009 taxable year.

SBX6 14 (2009/2010, Calderon, et al.) would extend mortgage forgiveness debt relief through 2012, modified to provide that the total amount excludable would be limited to \$500,000 (\$250,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), qualified principal residence indebtedness would be limited to \$800,000 (\$400,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), and interest and penalties would not be imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2009 taxable year. That bill is currently in the Senate Revenue and Taxation Committee.

SBX8 25 (2009/2010, Calderon and Correa) would extend mortgage forgiveness debt relief through 2012, modified to provide that the total amount excludable would be limited to \$500,000 (\$250,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), qualified principal residence indebtedness would be limited to \$800,000 (\$400,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), and interest and penalties would not be imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2009 taxable year. That bill failed to pass out of the Eighth Extraordinary Session, which adjourned on March 11, 2010.

SBX8 32 (2009/2010, Wolk, Leno, and Calderon) would have extended mortgage forgiveness debt relief through 2012, modified to provide that the total amount excludable would have been limited to \$500,000 (\$250,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), qualified principal residence indebtedness would have been limited to \$800,000 (\$400,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return), and interest and penalties would not have been imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2009 taxable year. That bill was vetoed by the Governor on March 25, 2010.

SB 1055 (Machado/Correa, 2007/2008, Ch. 282, Laws 2008) generally conforms California law to the federal Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, with the following modifications: (1) the exclusion applies to discharges occurring in 2007 and 2008; (2) the total amount of qualified principal residence indebtedness is limited to \$800,000 (\$400,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return); (3) the total amount excludable is limited to \$250,000 (\$125,000 in the case of a married/RDP individual filing a separate return); and (4) interest and penalties are not imposed with respect to discharges that occurred in the 2007 taxable year.

ECONOMIC IMPACT

Revenue Estimate

Estimated Revenue Impact of AB 1779 Assumed Enactment After June 30, 2010			
2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
-\$1,300,000	-\$1,100,000	-\$190,000	-\$130,000

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Legislative Analyst
Scott McFarlane
(916) 845-6075
scott.mcfarlane@ftb.ca.gov

Revenue Manager
Monica Trefz
(916) 845-4002
monica.trefz@ftb.ca.gov

Legislative Director
Brian Putler
(916) 845-6333
brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov

Analyst	Scott McFarlane
Telephone #	845-6075
Attorney	Patrick Kusiak

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD'S
AMENDMENTS TO AB 1779, AS AMENDED MAY 3, 2010

AMENDMENT 1

On page 2, line 13, strikeout "2007", and insert:
2007, 2008,

AMENDMENT 2

On page 2, line 15, strikeout "2007", and insert:
2007, 2008,